

Project: Motor Vehicle Safety Office (MVSO) Renovation
District of Ewa, Island of Oahu
Project No.: HWY-O

BACKGROUND

Project Location. The project will be located at 98-334 Ponohana Place, Aiea, Hawaii 96701, under the Waimalu Viaduct in District of Ewa, Island of Oahu.

Project Purpose. Renovate two existing buildings under the Waimalu Viaduct to consolidate the offices for the Motor Vehicle Safety Office (MVSO).

DESIGN SCOPE OF SERVICES

This project will update the existing facility to meet current building standards and current building code by upgrading electrical systems, repairing plumbing, replacing the deteriorated and leaking roof, repairing the building's air conditioning, and making the building and grounds ADA compliant. In addition, safety issues will be addressed and corrected such as building alarms and fire sprinklers as required by building codes. The building interior will be renovated and refurbished to accommodate the entire Motor Vehicle Safety Office staff. The renovation design for the buildings have to meet all the current health and safety requirements including testing and abatement for all hazardous materials.

Designers will need to obtain building permits and environmental clearances as required.

TOTAL DESIGN TIME: 3-4 months

GUIDELINES FOR PREPARING CONSULTANT PROPOSALS FOR HIGHWAYS DIVISION PROJECTS

The purpose of these guidelines, developed by the Department of Transportation (HDOT) - Highways Division, is to standardize the format and content of consultant proposals to help assure fair and proper evaluation. This standardized format should also reduce the proposal preparation time, and simplify the review process by HDOT personnel.

In order for HDOT's consultant screening committee to evaluate each proposal properly, it is important that each submittal be clear, concise, and prepared in accordance with the proposal format requirements in the table below. The table lists the criteria by which proposals will be evaluated, and the maximum number of pages allowed to discuss each criterion. Proposals must address all criteria listed, and must include a completed certification of non-exclusion to be considered complete in accordance with these guidelines. Incomplete proposals will not be considered for selection. Please tab the various sections in the submittal for easy reference.

PROPOSAL FORMAT REQUIREMENTS

Criteria	Points	Max. No. of Pages
Introductory letter, number of years in business, references and office locations		2
Experience and professional qualifications relevant to the project.	31	2
Past performance on projects of similar scope for public agencies or private industry, including corrective actions and other responses to notices of deficiencies.	30	2
Capacity to accomplish the work in the required time.	29	2
Innovative or alternative methods and anticipated concepts for furnishing the required services.	10	2
MAXIMUM TOTAL	100	10
Appendix: Resumes of key personnel (optional)		1 pg/person Max.
Appendix: Company Brochure (optional)		
Appendix: Completed Certification of Non-Exclusion		

The number of pages per consultant proposal must not exceed the maximum pages allowed per criterion, or the maximum total indicated in the table above. A page is considered to be letter size, printed on one side, single-spaced, with characters no smaller than 12 point (Times New Roman font, or similar, preferred). Any proposal containing pages in excess of the maximum number allowed per criterion will receive a 5-point penalty for each page over the limit. The title page, table of contents, tabs, and appendices are excluded from the maximum total pages.

After the selection letter has been received by the consultant, any change in key personnel or sub-consultants will require written approval by the State.

PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR DESIGN SERVICES

The criteria presented below will be used for evaluating proposals from interested consultants. The consultant receiving the highest total score will be the top ranked consultant for the project. If the total score of two or more firms are equal, past performance will be the governing criteria.

1. Experience and professional qualifications relevant to the project.

The project manager must be experienced in managing projects of similar nature and scope, and shall be a professional architect or engineer licensed in the State of Hawaii. The resume of the project manager must be included in the proposal.

The consultant shall designate experienced professional and technical staff to competently and efficiently perform the work, either through their own personnel or sub consultants. The proposal shall identify the project team composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities, and address how sub consultants will fit into the management structure. The HDOT evaluation will be performed on the team, and not just the personnel of the prime consultant, so resumes of key design team members must be included in the proposal.

2. Past performance on projects of similar scope for government and public agencies, or private industry, including corrective actions and other responses to notices of deficiencies.

The consultant proposal shall include a list of similar projects that the consultant worked on and successfully completed. The project name, contact person, and phone number of the government, public and/or private agencies should be included in the list. The proposal shall indicate the consultant's past performance in terms of cost control, quality of work and compliance with performance schedules.

3. Capacity to accomplish the work in the required time.

The consultant must demonstrate that sufficient knowledgeable staff is available, and that any sub consultant hired by the consultant is experienced and capable of performing the work.

The consultant proposal shall contain a list of current HDOT projects. The list should include project name, start date, and estimated consultant contract completion date. In addition, the list should identify any key team members and sub consultants proposed for this project presently assigned to the list of current projects.

4. Innovative or alternative methods, and anticipated concepts for furnishing the required services.

The consultant shall indicate any innovative, unique or alternative methods that would be used to perform the required services. Proposals should include anticipated concepts to complete the project, and should present any modern and cost-effective design methods or special project related techniques that would benefit the project.

CERTIFICATION OF NON-EXCLUSION

Date:
Name of Prime Consultant Firm:
List of Sub-Consultants Proposed for the Project:
Certification: I certify that my firm and its principals, and the sub-consultant firms proposed for this project and their principals, are not currently excluded by the State of Hawaii or the Federal Government from providing the services to be furnished for the proposed project, and that none of the parties listed herein appear on the following suspension or debarment lists: Hawaii State Procurement Office Circulars Federal Government Excluded Party Listing System (GSA List) Current Suspensions/Debarment Actions List by FHWA
Name of Officer and Title
Signature of Officer Date